

Organismo Italiano di Contabilità – OIC
(The Italian Standard Setter)
Italy, 00187 Roma, Via Poli 29
Tel. 0039/06/6976681 fax 0039/06/69766830
e-mail: presidenza@fondazioneoic.it

EFRAG
35 Square de Meeûs
B-1000 Brussels
BELGIUM
commentletter@efrag.org

22nd January 2014

Re: Draft endorsement advice on IASB *Amendments to IAS 19 – Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions*

Dear Madam/Sir,

We are pleased to provide EFRAG with our comments in order to contribute to the finalization of the EFRAG endorsement advice on the IASB *Amendments to IAS 19 – Employee Benefits* entitled *Defined Benefit: Employee Contributions*.

With regard to the EFRAG's technical assessment of the Amendments to IAS 19 against the endorsement criteria and the EFRAG's evaluation of the costs and benefits of the Amendments to IAS 19, we agree with both its two assessments.

Our responses to your invitation to comment are provided in the Appendix A to this letter.

If you have any questions concerning our comments, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely,

Angelo Casò
(Chairman)

Appendix A

Specific responses

1 Please provide the following details about yourself:

- (a) Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:

[Organismo Italiano di Contabilità - the Italian Standards Setter](#)

- (b) Are you a:
 Preparer User Other (please specify)

- (c) Please provide a short description of your activity:

- (d) Country where you are located:

[Italy](#)

- (e) Contact details including e-mail address:

[The Italian Standards Setter - OIC](#)
[Italy, 00187 Rome, Via Poli 29 Tel. +39\(6\)6976681](#)
[Fax +39\(6\)69766830 Email: \[presidenza@fondazioneoic.it\]\(mailto:presidenza@fondazioneoic.it\)](#)

2 EFRAG's initial assessment of the Amendment is that it meets the technical criteria for endorsement. In other words, it is not contrary to the principle of true and fair view and it meets the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2.

- (a) Do you agree with this assessment?

Yes No

If you do not, please explain why you do not agree and what you believe the implications of this should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.

- (b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendment? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?

No, there are not.

- 3 EFRAG is also assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for users on implementation of the Amendment in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this Invitation to Comment will be used to complete the assessment.

The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 6 and 9 of Appendix 3. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendment is likely to result for preparers in ongoing cost savings and insignificant one-off costs of implementing the Amendment, and only in insignificant costs for users to bring information into a comparable format.

Do you agree with this assessment?

Yes No

If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?

- 4 In addition, EFRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the Amendment. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in paragraph 12 of Appendix 3. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that users and preparers are likely to benefit from the Amendment. The Amendment is likely to reduce the costs for preparers in preparing. The Amendment is likely to reduce the costs for users in analysing the required disclosures and in clarifying paragraph 93 of IAS 19 (2011) as the information does not need to be adjusted to a comparable format.

Do you agree with this assessment?

Yes No

If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?

- 5 EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendment in the EU and the European Economic Area as described in paragraph 4 above are likely to outweigh the costs involved as described in paragraph 3 above.

Do you agree with this assessment?

Yes No

If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?

6 EFRAG is not aware of any other factors that should be taken into account in reaching a decision as to what endorsement advice it should give the European Commission on the Amendment.

Do you agree that there are no other factors?

Yes No

If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?
